

**LWV AC Observer Corps Reporting Form**

**Meeting Attended:** BOCC AC

Date of meeting: 1-7-14 Observer: Bev Warburton

<b>Attach agenda</b>			
Feature Evaluated	Yes	No	Comments
Type of meeting -- Regular			Regular Special Public Hearing Exec Session Work Session
Did meeting start on time?	x		
Were all members present?		X	Michael Whiting was excused, out of town
Were members attentive?	x		1 <sup>st</sup> I-pad meeting, all staff had one
Media coverage?	x		Sun, not sure who else
Staff present?	x		New HR head—from San Luis Valley
Administrator Finance Legal Council Others	x		Todd Starr and Larry Augsbury: Starr—legal and Augsbury—administrator
Audience #? Special interests?	x		Several folks for the Town presentation on the Rec Center—Kathy Lattin, Tom Carruso, MarkWeiler and others. The walls were covered with the plans too. This was the BIGGEST item on the agenda—an enthusiastic outreach session by the Town for the Rec Center proposal. Sharing of the sales taxes with the Town and County with a proposed revenue bond funding. This will be a continued discussion.
Did the members appear to have done their homework?	x		
Were members courteous to each other and the public?	x		
Were the Sunshine Law requirements followed?	x		Yes with the decisions being made by motion at the general meeting
--agenda sent/posted 24 hours before?	x		
--agenda items clearly described what was to be discussed?	x		The agenda was pretty straight forward, eg consent agenda is not discussed, just accepted. For the 4 pages of agenda, there was little to discuss
-adequate opportunity for public input?	x		The beginning public comment was a rep from the Proposed Ballot Issue group checking on the status of the BOCC's study. Also JB Smith commented Senior Bus discontinuance and

			<p>Senior cafeteria with sugar items, bad for diabetics. C Lucero will discuss with Senior cook staff.</p> <p>During the rest of the meeting, each item on the agenda was asked for public comment. The end agenda item was public and press: Terry Pickett asked about the January Retail Marijuana Advisory Committee meeting—open to public but not for comments; On the fee schedule, I brought forward that now organizations using the Extension Center had to have their own liability coverage—Steve Wadley is bringing this to the Work Session 1-14.</p>
-was there the appearance that some action items were discussed in closed rather than in open session?	x		Karl Isberg’s 2012 editorial re-run in this Sun touches the subject. I will be attending the Work Session 1-14. These are open to the public. Originally the purpose of these was for the BOCC to be able to look at information and bring forward questions. Are they doing too much discussion themselves in these sessions?
Was background information available to public?	x		The info packets included with the on-line agenda is complete
Facilities: big enough? Well lit? Could see officials? Could hear?	x		No microphones are being used by staff or commissioners.
Decisions: “cut & dried”?	x		There wasn’t real discussion. Is the Work Session becoming the venue for the inter-commissioner discussion?
Other observations:			
Any LWV positions relevant to issues discussed?		x	It will be interesting to see the resolution on non-profits having liability policies for rental of the Extension Center..... Will the LWV have to get it for the Forums?